of a small, negative activation volume for a cycloaddition is not enough to rule out a concerted reaction; it is also necessary to verify that unusual loss of polarity of the two molecules participating is not responsible.
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## The Total Synthesis of Nickel(II) Octamethylcorphin

Sir:
At the very heart of any project whose ultimate goal is the synthesis of vitamin B-12 must lie a concept for the construction of the macrocyclic ligand. In consonance with this fact we have initiated a fundamentally different approach to the synthesis of corrins and related ligands. ${ }^{1}$ The method utilizes isoxazole nuclei as latent synthons for the crucial


1


2
ring-bridging vinylogous amidine chromophores found in octamethylcorrin (1) or octamethylcorphin (2). ${ }^{2.3}$ In principle, all of the structural features of these two substances can be incorporated into an appropriately substituted trisisoxazole (10) which, in turn, can be assembled from carefully selected nitrile oxides and terminal acetylenes. Thus, cycloaddition of the nitrile oxide generated ${ }^{1,5}$ from nitroest-

## Scheme I







er 3 and acetylenic acetal ${ }^{6} 4$ gave monoisoxazole 5 (Scheme I). ${ }^{7}$ Mild acid hydrolysis of the acetal function and treatment of the resultant aldehyde with $\mathrm{NH}_{2} \mathrm{OH} \cdot \mathrm{HCl}$-pyr pro-

Scheme I

vided oxime 6. Conversion of this intermediate to bisisoxazole 7 was readily achieved by treatment with NBS in DMF followed by addition of acetylenic acetal 4 and triethylamine. ${ }^{5}$ Repetition of this sequence employing acetylenic ketone 9 provided the desired trisisoxazole 10 (mp 56.5$57.5^{\circ}$ ). Under optimum conditions the overall yield for the entire sequence (starting with 3 and 4) was a very respectable $40 \%$.

The crucial reduction of 10 was found to proceed smoothly and virtually quantitatively by employing a Raney nickel catalyst ${ }^{8}$ adjusted to a pH of about 7 with acetic acid. The product of this reduction (11) was a labile white crystalline solid which upon exposure to a trace of triethylamine produced a yellow-orange gummy solid whose ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR and mass spectra were consistent with the conjugated ligand structure 12 (Scheme II). However, due to its lability it was immediately treated with 1 equiv of $\mathrm{NaOCH}_{3}$ followed by 1.1 equiv of $\mathrm{Ni}\left(\mathrm{ClO}_{4}\right)_{2}$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$. This reaction sequence provided the beautifully crystalline orange nickel complex 13 (mp 205-208 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{dec}$ ) in essentially quantitative yield. The last nitrogen was incorporated by simply stirring a methanolic solution of 13 with excess ammonium acetate. This produced a solid whose 'H NMR indicated it was a mixture of products $14 \mathbf{a}-\mathbf{c}$. Without purification this mixture was treated with $t-\mathrm{BuOK}-t-\mathrm{BuOH}$ to provide the known ${ }^{9}$ nickel precorphin complex 15. ${ }^{10}$ The overall yield of the sequence starting with trisisoxazole 10 was $30-50 \%$. The conversion
of nickel precorphin complex 15 into a variety of metal complexes of octamethylcorphin (2) has recently been recorded. ${ }^{9}$
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## Exciplex and Electron-Transfer Chemistry. ${ }^{1}$ Reactions of Naphthonitrile $\mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{1}}$ States with Tetramethylethylene

 Sir:There is much current interest in the photophysics ${ }^{2-11}$ and photochemistry ${ }^{1,10-18}$ of excimers and exciplexes, with particular regard to their role in cycloadditions and other photochemical processes. We have been active in this field for some time, ${ }^{1,18}$ and have used nitriles in studying the photochemical effects of charge transfer. Aryl nitriles have recently attracted considerable attention because they can form fluorescent exciplexes with olefins. ${ }^{7.11}$

We now report recent work with 1- and 2-naphthonitrile (1-NN and 2-NN) and tetramethylethylene (TME), which indicates that (a) exciplexes of the nitriles and TME are intermediates in the cycloadditions which occur in benzene, (b) different factors seem to determine the formation of the exciplexes, and their collapse to products, and (c) in polar solvents, electron transfer dominates the chemistry. The results are as follows. Irradiation ${ }^{19}$ of $1-\mathrm{NN}$ and $2-\mathrm{NN}$ with TME in benzene gives 1-cyano-7,7,8,8-tetramethyl-2,3-benzobicyclo[4.2.0]octa-2,4-diene (1), and the previously described ${ }^{20} 6$-cyano isomer (2), respectively.


1


2

The cycloadducts were isolated by chromatography. 1 had mp $60-61.5^{\circ}$ and in the NMR spectrum ( 100 MHz , $\mathrm{CCl}_{4}$ or $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ showed resonances at $\delta 1.40,1.32,1.00$ and 0.81 , singlets (area of each, 3 ), assigned to the methyl groups; a doublet of doublets at $\delta 3.20, \mathrm{~J}=4.5$ and 2.0 Hz (area 1), is assigned to the bridgehead methine proton; two doublets of doublets at $\delta 5.71, J=10.0$ and 4.5 Hz , and at $\delta$ $6.31, J=10.0$ and 2.0 Hz , are assigned to the vinylic protons, and multiplets at $\delta 7.1$ (area 3 ) and at 6.9 (area 1) are assigned to the aromatic ring protons. 2 was obtained as an oil, and had NMR ${ }^{20}$ and other spectra, in full agreement with the assigned structure.

Dilution plots for both of these addition reactions in benzene solvent are shown in Figure 1. The linear form of these plots is described by eq 1 .

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi_{\mathrm{a}}^{-1}=\Phi_{\mathrm{lim}^{-1}}\left(1+K_{\mathrm{sv}}{ }^{-1}[\mathrm{TME}]^{-1}\right) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\Phi_{\mathrm{a}}$ is the quantum yield of addition, $\Phi_{\text {lim }}$ is its value at infinite TME concentration, and $K_{\mathrm{sv}}$ is the slope of the SternVolmer plot for quenching of the naphthonitrile fluorescence by TME. Scheme I, which involves an exciplex intermediate, ${ }^{11 a}$ will be used to interpret the photochemistry in benzene. In this scheme, ${ }^{11 a}$

$$
K_{\mathrm{sv}}=\frac{k_{\mathrm{q}}\left(k_{\mathrm{p}}+k_{\mathrm{d}}{ }^{\prime}+k_{\mathrm{f}^{\prime}}\right) \tau}{k_{-\mathrm{q}}+k_{\mathrm{p}}+k_{\mathrm{d}}{ }^{\prime}+k_{\mathrm{f}}^{\prime}},
$$

where $\tau=\left(k_{\mathrm{f}}+k_{\mathrm{d}}\right)^{-1}$, and $\Phi_{\mathrm{lim}}=k_{\mathrm{p}} / k_{\mathrm{p}}+k_{\mathrm{d}}{ }^{\prime}+k_{\mathrm{f}}{ }^{\prime}$


Figure 1. Dilution plots for cycloadduct formation in deoxygenated benzene: (A) 2-NN and TME giving 2, (B) 1-NN and TME giving 1. Values of slopes and intercepts are given in Table I.

Table I. Stern-Volmer Data and Limiting Quantum Yields for Naphthonitrile-TME Reactions ${ }^{a}$ in Benzene

|  | 1-Naphthonitrile | 2-Naphthonitrile |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| $K_{\text {sv }}$ (from fluorescence | $41.7 b$ | 0.72 |
| quenching), $M^{-1}$ | $18.4,22.8$ | 0.68 |
| $K_{\text {sv }}$ (from formation of <br> adduct), $M^{-1}$ | 0.14 | 0.21 |

${ }^{a}$ All determinations were in deaerated benzene at $20^{\circ}$. $b$ Value for hexane, taken from results of Taylor, ref 7, is 23.1.

Scheme I


Values for $\Phi_{\text {lim }}$ and $K_{\text {sv }}$ for 1-NN and 2-NN are given in Table I. $K_{\text {sv }}$ 's derived from fluorescence quenching, and from the plots in Figure 1, are in reasonable agreement. The additions clearly involve the naphthonitrile $S_{1}$ states. ${ }^{21}$

The simplest explanation for the different $K_{\text {sv }}$ 's but similar $\Phi_{\text {lim's }}$ for the two naphthonitriles is that formation of the exciplex determines $K_{\mathrm{sv}}$, while its collapse to product determines $\Phi_{\text {lim }} .{ }^{1.22}$ A scheme involving separate, parallel processes for $S_{1}$ quenching and addition, respectively, ${ }^{22,23}$ can also explain the results, but would require that the two processes vary in the same way with substitution of the naphthonitrile.

Calculation ${ }^{24}$ of the enthalpies of exciplex formation using the reduction potentials and $S_{1}$ excitation energies of the naphthonitriles, and the oxidation potential of TME, ${ }^{25}$ gives $\Delta H=-7.4$ and $-1.8 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ for $1-\mathrm{NN}$ and $2-\mathrm{NN}$, respectively, with TME. The more negative $\Delta H$ for 1-NN and TME is consistent with the larger $K_{\mathrm{sv}}$ in that case. ${ }^{26 \mathrm{a}}$ The difference in $K_{\text {sv }}$ between 1-NN and 2-NN is not due to the difference in lifetimes of $\mathrm{S}_{1} \cdot{ }^{27}$ Similar suggestions have been made ${ }^{7,11 \mathrm{a}}$ concerning the relationship between ionization potentials of olefins and their behavior as quenchers of $1-\mathrm{NN}$ fluorescence.

The similarity in $\Phi_{\text {lim }}$ for the two reactions (Table I) shows that different factors control $\Phi_{\text {lim }}\left(=k_{\mathrm{p}} / k_{\mathrm{p}}+k_{\mathrm{f}}{ }^{\prime}+\right.$ $k_{\mathrm{d}}{ }^{\prime}$ ) and $K_{\text {sv }}$. Thus, the exciplex which is the more stable (from 1-NN) collapses to product less efficiently. This could be consistent with a heteroexcimer bond which is longer in the more stable case (1-NN-TME) and shorter in the 2-NN-TME exciplex. ${ }^{26 \mathrm{~b}}$ Thus, radiationless processes

